

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/510,334	OHSHIMA, TOSHIKAZU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Mosser	3713

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Robert Mosser.

(3) _____.

(2) Brian L. Klock.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2005

Time: 11:00 est

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

Applicant provided translation of foreign priority documents through Examiner's desk fax in addition to submission through office channels.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant submitted a certified translation of foreign priority documents and approved the examiner's amendment regarding the insertion of the phrase "adapted to be" as set forth in the examiner's amendment in order to overcome potential 101 issues.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)